

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



N

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/817,193	03/27/2001	Masahiko Tsuchiya	108097	9085
25944	7590 01/03/2003			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 199 ALEXANDR	928 IA, VA 22320		TRA, ANH QUAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2816	
			DATE MAILED: 01/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/817,193	TSUCHIYA, MASAHIKO	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Quan Tra	2816	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2002 FAILS TO PLATHER TOPICATION FILED 19 December 2002 FAILS TO PLATHER TOPICATION FOR THE PLATHER TOPICATION TOPI	avoid abandonment of thi	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in	1
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The period for reply expired the period of the period of	is Advisory Action, or (2) the dat re later than SIX MONTHS from /AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT	the mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See Middle and the appropriate.	PEP extension
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perion fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Cotimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	od of extension and the correspo of the shortened statutory period Office later than three months aft	nding amount of the fee. The appropriate	extension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appelland 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).	nt's Brief must be filed with CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dis	in the period set forth in missal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifyi	ng the
(d) they present additional claims without canceNOTE:	eling a corresponding nun	iber of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amen	dment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has be See Continuation Sheet.	en considered but does NOT plac	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed So	OLELY to issues which were newl	у
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a)⊠ will not be ente would be rejected is provi	red or b) will be entered and an ded below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) approved or b) □	disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem			
10.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Terryb. Cunr Primary Exa	9
		, and the second	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In response to Applicant's arguments in page 3, second paragraph, of the remarks, Andrews teaches in column 1, third paragraph that "The transistors in the differential pair also have widths ratios of 1:5". Therefore, the transistors in the differential pair having difference driving ability because they have difference widths.

In response to Applicant's arguments in page 4, second paragraph, figures 1 and 2 show the first differential circuit outputs the first signal (Vout from 0 mS to 0.45 mS) in order to output the first output votlage lower than the common input voltage (VIN) through the third transistor (P4) of the primary conductive type, and the second differential circuit outputs the second signal (Vout from 0.5 mS. to 1 mS) in order to output a second output votlage higher than the common input votlage (VIN) through the third transistor (N4) of the secondary conductive type.

In response to Applicant's arguments in pages 5 and 6, Seller fails to teach at least one of the first differntial pair and the second differential pair is formed from a pair of transistors having a driving ability difference therebetween. However, Shulman teaches in column 5, that the size of transistors in differntial pair can be differentent in order to have off-set for the amplifier. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ Shulman's teaching to realize the transistors in Saller et al.'s differntial pair for the purpose of having off-set for the amplifier. Regtarding to Applicant's statement in page 5, fourth paragraph, the final office action does not indicate that Shulman's teaching would be inherent in Seller. The final office action states that "it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to make the size of transistors in Saller et al. differntial pair to be different for the purpose o having off-set for the amplifier".